**Pupil Premium Strategy Statement (ADMAT)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Summary information** | | | | | |
| **School** | Werrington | | | | |
| **Academic Year** | 2020-21 | **Total PP budget** | £28,245 | **Date of most recent PP Review** | Sept 20 |
| **Total number of pupils** | 65 | **Number of pupils eligible for PP** | 21 @ £1345 | **Date for next internal review of this strategy** | Dec 20 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Note: no end of KS data for 2020 due to Covid* | *Pupils eligible for PP (your school)* |
| **Percentage achieving in reading, writing and maths** |  |
| **Percentage achieving in reading** |  |
| **Percentage achieving in writing** |  |
| **Percentage achieving in maths** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)** | | | | | | | | | |
| **In-school barriers** *(issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)* | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | PP pupils are generally below ARE in Reading, Writing and Maths at end of KS1 and KS2 | | | | | | | |
|  | | Percentage of PP pupils attaining GDS is lower than non-PP pupils | | | | | | | |
| **External barriers** *(issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)* | | | | | | | | | |
| **C.** | | Percentage of children requiring support from TIS practitioner is higher than for non-PP children | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Desired outcomes** | | | | | | | | | |
|  | *Desired outcomes and how they will be measured* | | | | | *Success criteria* | | | |
|  | Raise percentage of PP pupils working at ARE from Year 1 to | | | | | Percentage of pupils attaining ARE in is in line with Non-PP pupils | | | |
|  | Improve progress of higher attaining ARE PP pupils to achieve GDS | | | | | Percentage of pp pupils achieving GDS in line with Non-PP pupils | | | |
|  | Improve emotional wellbeing of PP pupils | | | | | Percentage of pupils attaining ARE in line with Non-PP pupils | | | |
| 1. **Planned expenditure** | | | | | | | | | |
| **Academic year** | | | **£30,365** | | | | | | |
| The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Quality of teaching for all** | | | | | | | | | |
| **Desired outcome** | | | **Chosen action / approach** | **What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?** | **How will you ensure it is implemented well?** | | **Staff lead** | **When will you review implementation?** | |
| A. Improved progress and attainment for PP pupils from Year 1 to Year 6. | | | Focus teaching on PP pupils through questioning and feedback  Targeted deployment of PP Teacher | Across the school, the percentage of pupils eligible for PP meeting ARE is less than pupils not eligible for PP (data based on 2019-20)    PP teacher to focus on individual teaching programmes for these pupils. Work in partnership with class teacher. | Class Teachers to provide quality first teaching and feedback.  PP teacher to support individuals whose attainment or progress is not in line with national averages for Non-PP pupils.  PP pupils will be supported through extensive program of catch-up through the Autumn and Summer Term which has been funded by the Trust. School to receive an extra £2000. | | PP Teacher | £10,316.07 | |
| B. Improved progress for one high attaining pupil | | | Ensure planning shows challenge for the more able.  Targeted deployment of PP Teacher | The number of pp pupils meeting GDS is less than the national figure for all pupils. Targeted deployment of PP Teacher to stretch and challenge more able pupils.  Work in partnership with class teacher to develop teaching in class. |
| **Total budgeted cost** | | | | | | | | **£10,316.07** | |
| 1. **Targeted support** | | | | | | | | | |
| **Desired outcome** | | | **Chosen action/approach** | **What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?** | **How will you ensure it is implemented well?** | | **Staff lead** | **When will you review implementation?** | |
| A. Improved progress and attainment for PP pupils in year groups cited above.  B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils | | | TA support in classes for PP children | Providing extra support in class will enable the class teacher or teaching assistant to work closely with PP pupils addressing gaps in learning through quality feedback. | Class teachers to deploy TAs to support PP groups in class – planning to reflect this. TAs to support with questioning and feedback. | | Class teachers |  | |
| **Total budgeted cost** | | | | | | | | **£17,844.80** | |
| 1. **Other approaches** | | | | | | | | | |
| **Desired outcome** | | | **Chosen action/approach** | **What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?** | **How will you ensure it is implemented well?** | | **Staff lead** | **When will you review implementation?** | |
| A. Improved progress and attainment for PP pupils | | | TIS support for PP pupils | Support for pupils emotionally through Trauma Informed Schools (TIS). This is a programme which has been independently evaluated and shown to be effective in other schools. | Organise timetable to ensure TIS practitioner delivering provision have sufficient preparation and delivery time.  Carry-out baseline assessments to identify gaps/monitor progress through regular re-assessment  1:1 support specifically targeted to identified PP pupils under-performing | | TIS lead |  |
| **Total budgeted cost** | | | | | | | | **£2204.48** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Review of expenditure** | | | | |
| **Previous Academic Year** | | **2019-20** | | |
| 1. **Quality of teaching for all** | | | | |
| **Desired outcome** | **Chosen action/approach** | **Impact:** Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | **Lessons learned**  (and whether you will continue with this approach) | **Cost** |
| A. Improved progress and attainment for PP Pupils in Maths | Focus teaching on PP pupils through questioning and feedback  Targeted deployment of PP Teacher | 61% of PP pupils made ‘expected’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  11% of PP pupils made ‘good’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  6% of PP pupils made ‘very good’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  22% of PP pupils made ‘below’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  *There was no end of year assessment and no further progress data due to Covid.*  PP pupils were able to access Home Learning during Spring and Summer term. Extra support was given. Regular contact between home and school during the lockdown to check on welfare of PP pupils.  Year 6 PP pupils (5 out of 6) returned to school in June and accessed the school’s transition program with regard to SRE and transition to secondary school. | Through 2020-21continue with:   * Subject Leaders to model quality first teaching and feedback strategies for greater impact. * Subject Leaders to analyse data and identify key priorities to become part of the AIP and their action plans. * Continue to use staff meetings and INSET days to deliver training and embed consistency and expectations. * Continue Pupil Progress meetings to identify barriers and next steps half-termly. * Leaders will support with targeted groups next year linked to Recovery Schedule priorities. * Consistent PP pupil tracking and targeting to have a more defined impact on outcomes in 2020-21. * Capabilities curriculum had begun to make some impact during 2019/20 (with the focus on the wider curriculum to ignite curiosity and develop capabilities(but was not fully implemented beyond march 2020 due to Covid. | **Cost: £10,122** |
| B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils in Reading | Ensure planning shows challenge for the more able.  Targeted deployment of pp Teacher | 17% of PP pupils made ‘expected’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  72% of PP pupils made ‘good’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  11% of PP pupils made ‘very good’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  *There was no end of year assessment and no further progress data due to Covid.*  PP pupils were able to access Home Learning during Spring and Summer term. Extra support was given. Regular contact between home and school during the lockdown to check on welfare of PP pupils.  Year 6 PP pupils (5 out of 6) returned to school in June and accessed the school’s transition program with regard to SRE and transition to secondary school.  Both pupils returned to school in June and accessed the school’s transition program with regard to SRE and transition to secondary school. |
| 1. **Targeted support** | | | | |
| **Desired outcome** | **Chosen action/approach** | **Impact:** Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | **Lessons learned**  (and whether you will continue with this approach) | **Cost** |
| A. Improved progress and attainment for pp pupils in Maths  B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils in Writing | TA support in classes for pp children | 61% of PP pupils made ‘expected’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  11% of PP pupils made ‘good’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  6% of PP pupils made ‘very good’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  22% of PP pupils made ‘below’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  *There was no end of year assessment and no further progress data due to Covid.*  28% of PP pupils made ‘expected’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  11% of PP pupils made ‘good’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  6% of PP pupils made ‘very good’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  6% of PP pupils made ‘below’ progress between AP2 and AP4 (source: ITrack).  *There was no end of year assessment and no further progress data due to Covid.* | Through 2020-21 continue   * focusing on Writing using the Write Stuff * Subject leaders to model quality first teaching and feedback strategies for greater impact in Maths and Writing * Continue to use staff meetings and INSET days to deliver training alongside peer study and support lessons to embed consistency and expectations * Continue Pupil progress meetings to identify barriers and next steps termly and on-going support required * For PP pupils where progress has not been accelerated, chronologies shown significant barriers to learning that have had a negative impact * Leaders will support with targeted groups next year linked Recovery Schedule priorities * All staff currently working on the foundation curriculum and how to ignite curiosity and develop capabilities in areas where there are currently barriers in the future. | **£21,344** |
| 1. **Other approaches** | | | | |
| **Desired outcome** | **Chosen action/approach** | **Impact:** Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | **Lessons learned**  (and whether you will continue with this approach) | **Cost** |
| A. Improved progress and attainment for PP pupils. | TIS support for PP pupils. | Positive impact for PP pupils through TIS Support from September 2019 to March 2020 but impact on educational impact not yet seen for pupils due to Covid and pupils being out of school for the Spring and Summer term of 2020 | This academic year (2020-2021)   * continue to monitor the level of participation, attendance to after school clubs and sporting events – to be logged on Absolute Education. * Track and present attendance of pupils to parent consultations meetings and parental engagement sessions. * Continue longer style parent consultations (30 minutes) in the Autumn term 2019. * Continue and increase parental engagement strategies. * Maintain a range of after school clubs, including sports. | **£871** |